

TERMS OF THE DAILY.
One year, postage paid, every afternoon except
Sundays morning, 50c.
Six months, 25c.
Three months, 15c.
By the week (delivered by carrier), 15c.
By the month (delivered by carrier), 65c.
Sunday edition, by mail, per year, 2.00
Subscribers who fail to receive their paper regu-
larly will confer a favor upon us by reporting the
same to this office by postal card.
All business of news letters or telegrams should be
addressed to
POST-DISPATCH,
513 Olive st.

POSTAGE.
Entered in the Post-office, St. Louis, Mo., as Sec-
ond-class matter.
Per Copy.
Eight to sixteen pages, 1 Cent.
Eighteen to thirty-two pages, 2 Cents.
Foreign.
Daily, 10 Pages, 1 Cent.
10 to 16 Pages, 2 Cents.
16 to 24 Pages, 3 Cents.
24 to 32 Pages, 4 Cents.

TELEPHONE NUMBERS.
Editorial Rooms, 4085
Business Office, 4084
London Office, 32 Cockspur Street, Charing Cross,
New York Bureau, Room 56, Pulitzer Building, Mas-
s. Fischer, Manager.

THE POST-DISPATCH

Guarantees to Advertisers a Larger Local
Circulation than that of Any Other TWO
St. Louis Newspapers Combined.

SAUNDERS, JULY 30, 1892.

Weather forecast for thirty-six hours, be-
ginning at 8 a. m. to-day, for Missouri:
Fair and warmer; southerly winds.

An area of high pressure covers the cen-
tral valleys, having its center in southern
Minnesota and Wisconsin. The pressure is
relatively low on the northeast coast and in Dakota
and Eastern Montana. Showers have occurred at
stations on Lake Michigan, in Arkansas and Okla-
homa, and rain is reported from the east of the Ohio
river and north of Tennessee. The temperature has
risen slightly west of the Mississippi, and has fallen
very decidedly in the lower lake region, and in cen-
tral parts of Indiana and Illinois. West of the Missis-
sippi the prevailing conditions are fair and cloud-
less weather. The river is still falling rapidly.

Weather forecast for thirty-six hours, be-
ginning at 8 a. m. to-day, for St. Louis: Fair weather,
warmer Sunday.

"THE situation is unprecedented."

FORTUNATELY Four Courts law is not
the law of Missouri.

THE biggest obstruction to justice in
this town is the Four Courts.

THE Grand-jury has been discharged,
but it should be noted that no law-breakers
with pulls were indicted.

THE POST-DISPATCH's fight against the
forces of corruption in behalf of the people
is a fight to the death.

THE real case at issue is that of the POST-
DISPATCH and the people against the politi-
cal rascals and their tools.

THE newspaper friend that instructs the
judge who does not know what to do, has
made a suffering cat's paw of him this time.

REPRESENTATIVE WATSON has a case
against his constituents for damages for
sending him to such a disreputable place
as he asserts the House to be.

WHEN Grand-jurors are permitted to
abstract and court officials to change in-
dictments at will, the usefulness of the
Grand-jury system is at an end.

THE Old Pretender is to be congrat-
ulated upon getting into its columns, even
by way of a libel suit, some live editorials
and accurate information on the subject
of Four Courts abuses.

WHEN the contest between the rascal-
shipped combine in this city and the
POST-DISPATCH is ended the men in the
combine will have more damages than they
will get from the POST-DISPATCH.

IN view of the slurs cast upon Gen.
Stevenson as headman of the Cleveland
administration it is well to bear in mind
that Clarkson in two years of service under
the Harrison administration decapitated
more postmasters than Stevenson did in
his whole four years of service. And the
Republicans had been out of power only
four years to the Democrats twenty-five.

IF Judge Claiborne can punish and sup-
press newspaper censures of Judge Nor-
mille's court and Judge Normille can per-
form the same friendly service for Judge
Claiborne's court, the Four Courts will
conclude that it has found a way of re-
pairing its damaged reputation. But the
operation will remind the public of a man
trying to lift himself over a fence by his
boot-straps.

Gov. McKINLEY said in his Madison
speech that "If we had the power to do
away with taxation altogether it would be
the policy of the Republicans and Demo-
crats alike, but we cannot do that for so
long as we have a government we must
have revenue." That is true and revenue
is the only sound and just basis of tax-
ation. All other taxation is robbery under
the form of taxation and should be done
away with altogether. That is the Demo-
cratic platform.

THE extent of Manager Frick's injuries
was never fully made known to the public
and the rapidity of his recovery induced
the belief that his danger was exagger-
ated in the excitement following the at-
tack upon him. The attack was none the
less criminal and cowardly on this ac-
count. But it is possible that Frick's
friends permitted a little more sym-
paty.

SIX WARRANTS

Sworn Out by Judge J. C. Normille
To-Day

Against the Editors of the "Post-Dis-
patch" for Alleged Libel.

AND DAMAGES IN THE SUM OF \$400,000
CLAIMED.

Judge Normille Feels Aggrieved at the
Reports of the Scenes in the Grand-
jury Room, the Criminal Court and the
Comments on the Protest of the Eight
Grand-Jurors.

Up to time of going to press Judge J. C.
Normille of the St. Louis Criminal Court had
sworn out six warrants for libel against the
three editors of the Post-Dispatch and filed
suit for \$400,000 damages against the Pulit-
zer Publishing Co.

Chester H. Krum, the attorney of Judge
Normille, this morning handed to Thomas
H. B. Smith, the assistant prosecuting at-
torney of the Court of Criminal Jurisdiction,
six separate informations, charging
each one of the editors of the Post-Dis-
patch with libel. Each information charges
the editor with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The informations are as follows: The first
charges the editor of the Post-Dispatch with
publishing a malicious and falsely charged
article in the Post-Dispatch, calculated to
injure the reputation of the St. Louis Crimi-
nal Court and the Criminal Court of Mis-
souri.

The second charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The third charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fourth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fifth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventh charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eighth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The ninth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The tenth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eleventh charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The twelfth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The thirteenth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fourteenth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fifteenth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixteenth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventeenth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eighteenth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The nineteenth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The twentieth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The twenty-first charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The twenty-second charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The twenty-third charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The twenty-fourth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The twenty-fifth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The twenty-sixth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The twenty-seventh charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The twenty-eighth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The twenty-ninth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The thirtieth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The thirty-first charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The thirty-second charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The thirty-third charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The thirty-fourth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The thirty-fifth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The thirty-sixth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The thirty-seventh charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The thirty-eighth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The thirty-ninth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fortieth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The forty-first charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The forty-second charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The forty-third charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The forty-fourth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The forty-fifth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The forty-sixth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The forty-seventh charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The forty-eighth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The forty-ninth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fiftieth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fifty-first charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fifty-second charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fifty-third charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fifty-fourth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fifty-fifth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fifty-sixth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fifty-seventh charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fifty-eighth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The fifty-ninth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixtieth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixty-first charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixty-second charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixty-third charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixty-fourth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixty-fifth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixty-sixth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixty-seventh charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixty-eighth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The sixty-ninth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventieth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventy-first charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventy-second charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventy-third charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventy-fourth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventy-fifth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventy-sixth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventy-seventh charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventy-eighth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The seventy-ninth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eightieth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eighty-first charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eighty-second charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eighty-third charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eighty-fourth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eighty-fifth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eighty-sixth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eighty-seventh charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eighty-eighth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The eighty-ninth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The ninetieth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The ninety-first charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The ninety-second charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The ninety-third charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The ninety-fourth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The ninety-fifth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The ninety-sixth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The ninety-seventh charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The ninety-eighth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The ninety-ninth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

The one hundredth charges the editor of the Post-
Dispatch with publishing a malicious and
falsely charged article in the Post-Dis-
patch, calculated to injure the reputation
of the St. Louis Criminal Court and the
Criminal Court of Missouri.

AS IT LOOKS TO-DAY.

A Glance at the Situation in National Pol-
itics—Figures for Profrat.

Here is a summary of present conditions in
national politics: The so-called Southern
States have 100 votes in the Electoral College.
These, with the 57 votes of Connecticut, In-
diana, Ohio, New Jersey, New York and New
York, make

GOMPERS IS HERE.

The President of the American Federation of Labor Arrives.

HE DISCUSSES THE OBJECTS OF HIS VISIT TO ST. LOUIS.

Summoned Here by the Marine Firemen—Not Notified That His Assistance Is Desired by the Plating Mill Strikers—The Leitch Case—Strong Language on the Pinkertons.

A steady stream of cards went up to room 12 of the Laclede Hotel this forenoon and at a table where sat a rather and a decidedly chunky gentleman who was trying to do some writing between the typewriter. The desire to see this person was so great that he was of some importance; and this was so, far it was Mr. Samuel Gompers, President of the American Federation of Labor, probably the largest and most influential organization composed exclusively of bona fide working people that the world has ever seen. Mr. Gompers is apparently about 40 years of age. He has a massive and symmetrical head, thickened with hair almost black; dark gray eyes look out from under a thick brow; and his face is strong and determined. He was working in his shirt sleeves, and used gold eye-glasses.

Mr. Gompers told the Post-Dispatch reporter that he was very busy, as he had some important matters to look after, but he would cheerfully give the press a few minutes time.

"You know, I suppose," he began, "why I am here. There are next week the conventions of the International Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners and Painters and Decorators; but there are also some local differences have come in the way of adjusting. For some months past the workmen have desired a visit from me, but circumstances have prevented my compliance until now. I came in this morning, a day in advance, in order to be present at a meeting of the federations to night, which is to be addressed by leading members of the Carpenters' and Painters' Brotherhoods as well as by myself."

"What local troubles have brought you here?"

"The differences along your water front between marine firemen, longshoremen, etc., and the transportation companies. The marine firemen have asked me to see whether I cannot assist in adjusting the controversy. This is, I understand, the principal matter of a local character."

"Will you do anything in the mill men's strike?"

"If my assistance is desired I will cheerfully render it; otherwise it will be managed as heretofore. I am not a member of any organizations directly interested to determine."

"How are the labor interests in St. Louis?"

"From the reports I have heard of late, I conclude that the movement is fairly prosperous. The labor advocates are active and zealous, and a good deal of earnestness pervades all classes of the working people here. During the past year there has been an increase of 70 per cent in the number of those belonging to the unions, and new unions are being organized. I think you may say that the St. Louis advocates of organized labor are holding up the cause as well as their brethren in any city of corresponding size doing."

"Corresponding size doing?"

"Yes, I mean that the labor movement here has been successful as any. The strike against four great brewing companies and a very successful and satisfactory ending."

"Now, about Homestead?"

"I want to say that it was the intention of the thought of the Carnegie Co. to crush organized labor in its war on the Amalgamated Association. The design has been completely miscarried, for, as a matter of fact, there has not been since 1886 so general a movement toward organization all along the line as has prevailed since the affair at Homestead."

"And what about Berkman and Frick?"

"I don't know Berkman; didn't know who he was; never heard him. I know of Frick, that he is reported to have shot Frick. Any attempt to connect Berkman with us will be of course fail. The men behind Berkman have no community with us. The two elements are antagonistic. The trades and labor men and the Anarchists are in deadly opposition. I understand that the Anarchists regard us as their arch enemy. However, we don't need to meet and formally disavow Berkman any more than we need get together and condemn Jack the Ripper and his methods. We can accomplish the ends of labor without a resort to dynamite or any other resource of assassins."

"The proposition was heartily endorsed by a committee of St. Louis working people who had come into the room during the interview."

"Still," continued Mr. Gompers, speaking very slowly and impressively, "I want distinctly to say with whatever of condemnation the avowal will carry with it, that we are heartily in sympathy with the treatment the workmen at Homestead gave the Pinkertons. There has been a good deal of sympathy expended upon the Pinkertons, but I don't think it is fair to speak of the matter, as he had not seen the local labor authorities, and did not know just what they complained of."

"Just then a committee of the Trades and Labor Union was called into the room. The committee was composed of Mr. Henry Blackmore and Messrs. Webster and Lyman. G. L. Lyman of the Marine Engineers also sent up his card and was admitted."

Mr. Gompers expects to be here the greater part of the ensuing week. He will speak at Lindy Park to-night. It is not yet known if the Trades and Labor Union may arrange for a meeting for him next week. He is anxious to ally whatever existed, and would do whatever he could to remove misapprehensions and in harmony."

112 St. Louis to Denver and Return Via Burlington Route.

AUG. 8 to Inclusive, the BURLINGTON ROUTE will sell tickets, ST. LOUIS TO DENVER AND RETURN, for \$2.00, round-trip, including meals, baggage, and sleeping car.

MISSOURI MATTERS.

Personal Notes and General News From Interior Cities.

ST. CHARLES, July 30.—Mrs. Robert Atkinson of St. Louis was the guest of Mrs. G. Fielding Thursday.

Miss Daisy Rockford of Montgomery City, who has been the guest of Mrs. H. Wright, left for her home Friday.

Mr. B. B. Bode and daughter, Miss Irene, have returned from their trip to Denver, Colo.

Mr. Patterson and son, Willie, left today for St. Paul, to make that city their home.

Mrs. S. G. Orme leaves Monday for Wentville, Mo., where she will spend a few days before going on to Kansas City.

Mrs. Charles Hilger of St. Louis is visiting her parents at this place.

Mr. Lang Walker returned last week from his trip to California.

Miss Bessie Walker is visiting Miss Bessie of Ferguson.

Mrs. M. Wilson, who has been the guest of Mrs. J. H. Wilson, returns to her home in Lincoln, Mo., this week.

Mr. Joseph Thro, who has been here on a visit to his father, J. B. Thro, returned today to St. Louis.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

REAL ESTATE INTERESTS.

AN EIGHTH AND ST. CHARLES STREETS CORNER SOLD FOR \$155,000.

Mrs. E. A. W. Clymer Buys From John E. Liggett—Both Parties Make Big Profits in the Transfer of Properties—Important Deals.

The property at the southeast corner of Eighth and St. Charles street, occupied by a large iron manufacturing concern, was purchased today by Mrs. E. A. W. Clymer for \$155,000. John E. Liggett, the grantor, was represented in the transaction by Rutledge & Kilpatrick, and the purchaser by Cornet & Ziebig, this being their first sale since moving into their new office in the Wainwright building.

The premises bought by Mrs. Clymer embrace the property brick and iron building with a frontage of 120 feet on Eighth street by a depth of 124 feet on St. Charles street. It is a very strong building, having been designed and especially constructed for its present occupants, who are paying a rental of about \$11,000 a year for the property. Mrs. Liggett has not yet decided whether to sell the property, but she has bought it from Theodore Solomons, through the firm of Rutledge & Kilpatrick on 18th and Chestnut streets for \$230,000. There is an alley on the west and on the south of the premises making the site all the more advantageous for business purposes, and the fact that the grantor has made \$25,000 on his deal within ten months' time may be taken as a pretty good and fair criterion of the enhanced value of the property.

In part payment for Mr. Liggett's property Mr. Clymer has conveyed to him the title to the corner of 18th and Chestnut streets for \$50,000. This property has a frontage of 42 1/2 feet by a depth of 14 feet, which, with its two old three-story brick houses, now occupied as real estate offices and a furniture store, is quite a valuable property. It is situated on a corner of 18th and Chestnut streets, and is a very good and fair criterion of the enhanced value of the property.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

Miss Mattie and Lucinda McDermott have been visiting Mrs. L. H. Wright of St. Louis at their home on 10th and Main.

Miss Lillian of Atoka, I. T., is summering here. She will return in the fall to resume her studies.

Mr. A. H. Hallman, President of the Wisconsin State Fair, and wife, of Chicago, are visiting St. Charles.

AYER'S HAIR VIGOR

Keeps the scalp clean, cool, healthy.

The Best Dressing

Restores hair which has become thin, faded, or gray.

Dr. J. C. Ayer & Co. Lowell, Mass.

Central Conservatory of Music at St. Louis for the past two months, has returned here this morning. The Friedman & Co. Bazaar Club of this city will hold their next meeting at the St. Louis Hotel on Saturday night.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

AYER'S HAIR VIGOR

Keeps the scalp clean, cool, healthy.

The Best Dressing

Restores hair which has become thin, faded, or gray.

Dr. J. C. Ayer & Co. Lowell, Mass.

Central Conservatory of Music at St. Louis for the past two months, has returned here this morning. The Friedman & Co. Bazaar Club of this city will hold their next meeting at the St. Louis Hotel on Saturday night.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

Mr. and Mrs. C. Campbell of Bunker Hill club have returned from their trip to the West. They were accompanied by their daughter, Mrs. A. D. Dorey, this week.

ONE SMOKETTE = ONE TOKEN

TWENTY TOKENS = ONE EXPOSITION TICKET. ASK FOR EXPLANATION AT ANY CIGAR, DRUG OR GROCERY STORE.

A LARGER QUANTITY SOLD THAN OF ANY OTHER BRAND IN THE WORLD.

GREELEY, BURNHAM, GROCER CO.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT.

Financial Statement of the FRANKO-AMERICAN LOAN & BUILDING ASSOCIATION, for Sixty-Five Months, Ending June 30, 1892.

RESOURCES.

Loans receivable \$140,000

Real estate \$104,35

Due from members \$48,000

Due from other sources \$1,000

Total \$293,355

LIABILITIES.

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

Due on 1,200 shares, \$100 each \$120,000

